

It is well-established that district courts have “wide discretion” in considering motions to proceed IFP under 28 U.S.C. § 1915. See Martinez v. Kristi Kleaners, Inc., 364 F.2d 1305, 1306 (11th Cir. 2004). This discretion, however, can only be properly exercised if the

court has sufficient financial information from a plaintiff seeking to proceed IFP. See id. at 1307-08. Accordingly, a district court can require that a plaintiff supplement their IFP form with additional financial information to assist the court in making its IFP determination. See id. at 1308.

In support of her argument against filling out this Court's IFP form, Plaintiff incorrectly asserts that the IFP form found on the United States Courts's website is the same form used by this Court. Clearly, the form used by this Court requires more detailed financial information than the form Plaintiff has submitted. After reviewing the Plaintiff's IFP form, this Court found that it could not make a proper IFP determination without more of Plaintiff's financial information, and therefore, this Court requested that Plaintiff fill out the IFP form that this Court uses. Because this Court finds that the financial information provided by Plaintiff is insufficient to provide an adequate basis for an IFP determination, Plaintiff is, again, ordered to fill out this Court's IFP form if Plaintiff wants to proceed IFP. Plaintiff shall have twenty (20) days from the date of her receipt of this Order to fully complete the motion and affidavit or to pay the entire filing fee. There shall be no service of process in this case until further order of the Court.

SO ORDERED, this the 27th day of December, 2007.

/s/ Hugh Lawson
HUGH LAWSON, Judge

dhc